

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,192	08/16/2006	Hans-Heinrich Angermann	1006/0135PUS1	6692
60601 Muncy, Geissl	7590 04/12/2010 ler, Olds & Lowe, PLLC	EXAMINER		
4000 Legato R		DUONG, THO V		
Suite 310 FAIRFAX, V	A 22033		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/12/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/579,192	ANGERMANN, HANS-HEINRICH	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tho v. Duong	3744	

	Tho v. Duong	3744					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 30 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In one think, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY-CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO.						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (1) above, if Checked. Any rephy received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL	" "# 07 OFD 44 07	enant in the talk and a second					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further control to the property of the property</li></ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		areas a seed seed to	DTOL OOA				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1:		mpliant Amendment (i	PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ol>							
non-allowable claim(s). would be all	lowable ir submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmen	it canceling the				
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided.</li> </ol>		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	thefree season the date of Cross a Nice		ha antonial				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13.  Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 3/30/10						
<del>-</del> —							
/Tho v Duong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument of the difference between indirective poseed "and "directive yeopsed" of the joint and the first fluid, has been very carefully considered but is not found to be persuasive because the examiner does not see any difference between two types of "exposed" since at the point of "exposed", (for example joint 12 and the first fluid in applicant's argument) is appear that they are still a type of "directly exposed" due to the fact that the first fluid and the joint are still in direct contact with each other when they meet. The other reasonable interpretation of "indirectly exposed" is that there is something between the "first fluid" and the "pinit" (and not some thing between the connectice (6) and the joint per applicant's argument) that keeps them from directly contact each other. The term "indirectly exposed" has been interpreted as clearly pointed out in the Final Reicetion.